Persistent Rupture Segmentation along the Sunda Megathrust off Sumatra

SCD Questions Addressed:

controls on the size, location, and frequency of great subduction earthquakes
evolution in space and time of deformation across the subduction boundary

SCD Implementation Workshop Austin, Texas 5 January 2011 Aron Meltzner • EOS/Caltech Kerry Sieh • EOS/Caltech Hong-Wei Chiang • NTU Chuan-Chou Shen • NTU Danny Natawidjaja • LIPI Bambang Suwargadi • LIPI Belle Philibosian • Caltech Rich Briggs • USGS



modified from Shearer & Bürgmann, 2010

West Coast of Nias Island, Sumatra (Uplifted March 2005)





Pre-2004 HLG

Post-2004 HLS 33 cm below pre-2004 HLG

In Sumatra, we can use CORAL MICROATOLLS



from John Galetzka



The Simeulue Saddle of Uplift





In central Simeulue, only a fraction of the uplift occurred

modified from Briggs et al., Science, 2006

The 'modern' sequence of ruptures ...



... but what about earlier ruptures?

What about earlier ruptures?



fossil microatoll from Lhok Pauh, northern Simeulue

interseismic rates vary from one earthquake cycle to the next

The two halves of Simeulue have strikingly different histories.

The time series plot showing 14th-15th century uplift and subsidence at sites on northern and southern Simeulue has been removed, as this figure has not yet been published. You may request this figure directly from the author, at meltzner [at] ntu [dot] edu [dot] sg

Farther south in the Batu Islands ...

A 100-km section of the megathrust at the Equator has been a barrier to rupture for at least the past 4 neighboring great earthquakes.



Modest uplift during the M_W 7.7 1935 earthquake



BDG-00-A1 graph



Small uplifts during 3 great earthquakes on neighboring patches ... just as in 2005. We have thus identified 2 persistent barriers to rupture in Sumatra ... but we can only speculate on their controls



Conclusions & Questions

- We have identified two persistent barriers to rupture, but do not yet fully understand them or what controls them
- Earthquake clustering and 'supercycles' appear to prevail on at least some segments of the Sunda megathrust
- We have multiple instances of seemingly irrefutable evidence for changes in decades-long subsidence rate at a given site
 - this cannot all be explained by post-seismic deformation
- Are there advantages to looking in different areas where there are different boundary conditions & different geometries, to see if the same rules apply?

Thank You!